

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/043,738	01/09/2002	Wolfgang Brauer	Mo-6931/LeA 35,798	6549		
157	7590 03/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BAYER POLYMERS LLC		SERGENT, RABON A				
100 BAYER R	ROAD		A D.T. I.D.U.T. D.A.D.E.D. N.H. A.D.E.D.			
PITTSBURGH PA 15205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

1711
DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1"	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A				
	10/043,738	BRAUER ET AL.	$(\mathcal{A})$				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rabon Sergent	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	igust 2003.						
•	•						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 7-11</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
. –	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>r</b> .						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	)-(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Mich worth?							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Tnterview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTC	)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/043,738

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. Claims 5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support has not been found for the addition of the auxiliary substance to the prepolymer step and the elastomer forming step. Furthermore, support has not been provided for the claimed reactant preheat temperature ranges; applicants' claimed upper preheat temperature limit of 250°C is only disclosed in association with the temperatures obtained during reaction. A preheat temperature refers to reactant temperatures prior to reaction.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah (\*852) in view of Muller et al. (\*133).

Application/Control Number: 10/043,738

Art Unit: 1711

Shah discloses a thermoplastic polyurethane derived from the reaction of a prepolymer, derived from the reaction of diisocyanate and polyether polyol, and 1,4-di-(2,2'-hydroxyethyl)-hydroquinone, in the presence of stannous octoate. See column 4, lines 6 and 36; column 5; and examples within Shah. Shah further discloses that the reactants may be preheated to a temperature up to 130oC. See column 4, lines 25-28.

- 4. Though Shah discloses the production of the thermoplastic polyurethane using a prepolymer process, Shah fails to disclose specific details concerning the types of reactors used to produce the prepolymer and elastomer. However, the continuous use of static mixers or stirred tube reactors to produce polyurethane prepolymers and the subsequent continuous use of an extruder to produce the thermoplastic elastomer was known at the time of invention. This position is supported by the teachings of Muller et al. at column 5, lines 48-55 and column 6, lines 18-20.
- 5. Therefore, since both the primary reference and secondary reference are concerned with the production of thermoplastic polyurethanes, derived from prepolymers, the position is taken that it would have been obvious to produce the thermoplastic polyurethane of Shah using the disclosed continuous process and reactor setup of Muller et al.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/043,738

Art Unit: 1711

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent February 23, 2004

RABON SÉRGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER